DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 448 397 CG 030 610

AUTHOR Bromley, Jamie L.

TITLE Training for Counseling Psychologists in Suicide Prevention,

Intervention, and Postvention.

PUB DATE 2000-08-00

NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the

American Psychological Association (108th, Washington, DC,

August 4-8, 2000).

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Counseling Psychology; Counselor Client Relationship;

*Counselor Training; Course Content; Higher Education;

Intervention; Legal Problems; Models; Prevention; *Suicide

IDENTIFIERS Suicide Prevention

ABSTRACT

Training in suicide prevention, intervention, and postvention is lacking in most counseling psychology training programs despite the likelihood of trainees encountering suicidal individuals in their careers. Part of the reluctance to address this issue may be due to the potential problems of incorporating training into existing counseling programs and deciding whether it should be part of an existing class or added as an additional class. Arguments for the inclusion of training are provided as well as suggestions for implementing these changes. Another concern of working with suicidal patients is the fear of legal repercussions. Training needs to address ethical issues, such as confidentiality and competence. A training model is described which includes crisis management courses and practicum experiences related to working with suicidal clients. (JDM)



Running Head: TRAINING

Training for Counseling Psychologists in Suicide Prevention,

Intervention, and Postvention

Jamie L. Bromley

The University of Akron

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J BROMLEY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Abstract

Training in suicide prevention, intervention, and postvention is lacking in most Counseling

Psychology training programs despite the likelihood of trainees encountering suicidal individuals

during their training or in their future careers. Training in suicidology for Counseling

Psychologists should not occur after the fact, but should occur as part of a comprehensive

Counseling Psychology training program. Arguments for the inclusion of training are provided

as well as suggestions for implementing those changes.



Training for Counseling Psychologists in Suicide Prevention, Intervention, and Postvention

Training in suicidology for Counseling Psychologists should not occur after the fact, but should occur as part of a comprehensive Counseling Psychology training program. Most Counseling Psychologists will encounter suicidal individuals within the scope of their career, and Counseling Psychology trainees are also just as likely to work with suicidal clients in a training program or on internship. Kleespsies, Penk, and Forsyth (1993) reported that 97% of psychology trainees, including those in Counseling Psychology, have treated suicidal individuals. In addition, 29% of psychology trainees had at least one client attempt suicide, and 11% of trainees experienced losing a client to suicide. Also, the emotional impact of losing a client to suicide can have long-term effects on the career of the new professional (Kleespies, Smith, & Becker, 1990). Despite the likelihood of encountering suicidal individuals while in training, on internship, or during one's career, little formal training is offered in suicide prevention, intervention, and postvention in psychology graduate programs (Kleespies et al., 1993).

Counseling Psychologists may argue that most training in the area of suicide should occur during a trainee's internship, if the issue is relevant to the training site, and consequently, training in suicide prevention, intervention, and postvention is not necessary in Counseling Psychology graduate programs. However, there are some compelling arguments for the inclusion of training in suicide. First, Counseling Psychology trainees are likely to encounter suicidal individuals during course practica in university counseling centers or community clinics. In addition, many internship sites deal with suicidal behavior of clients on a case-by-case basis, and few interns receive systematic, didactic training regarding suicide (Kleespies et al., 1993). Also, the goal of Counseling Psychology graduate programs is to prepare students to be competent



scientist-practitioners, and this goal is not being met in the area of suicide. Students are not receiving training in the practice or science of suicidology, and it is unknown if the training in working with suicidal clients is being systematically informed by empirical research. Another reason for the inclusion of training is that the roles of Counseling Psychologists are widening, and although the traditional focus of Counseling Psychology has not been abandoned (e.g. areas such as vocational behavior and life skills development), the field has evolved over the past two decades to include a wider scope of practice (Westefeld, Range, Rogers, Maples, Bromley, & Alcorn, 2000). More Counseling Psychologists are obtaining internships and employment in a variety of environments beyond university counseling centers. Such sites include community mental health centers, the veteran's administration hospitals, other medical settings and hospitals, schools, businesses, and industry (Kagan et al., 1988). Thus, Counseling Psychology has moved toward becoming a primary care specialty, and Counseling Psychologists will be treating clients with a wide variety of issues, including suicidal thoughts and behaviors (Westefeld et al., 2000). For these reasons, Counseling Psychology graduate programs have a responsibility to incorporate training in suicide prevention, intervention, and postvention.

Part of the reluctance to address this issue may be due to the potential problems of incorporating training into existing Counseling Psychology programs. One issue is that many faculty responsible for the training and supervision of practicum course do not have formal training in these issues, and as a result, may have difficulty in training students. However, that is not a sufficient reason to overlook the needs of trainees in terms of their current and future work with suicidal individuals. Another obstacle to the inclusion of training in suicide is integrating the training in to existing graduate programs. Issues that may arise include where the training would be placed in the program. Should there be additional classes or should the issues be



infused in other course work, as was done originally with multicultural issues? If additional classes were added, this would affect programs in terms of credit hours, and it may expand the length of some programs. The integration of training in suicide prevention, intervention, and postvention may prove to be difficult and challenging, but it is not an impossible task.

Westefeld et al. (2000) addressed several issues regarding training for Counseling Psychologist in suicide, and they suggest that training should include both formal and informal elements to accomplish the overall goals of creating Counseling Psychologists who are competent in working with suicidal individuals. First, supervision models need to be developed and tested empirically in order to determine the most effective method of training and supervising students in the area of managing clients' suicidal behavior. Kranz (1985) suggested a supervision model in which the supervisor acts as a mentor to the trainee, and then models to the student useful methods in dealing with suicidal individuals. Gradually, the trainee becomes responsible for the treatment and clinical decisions regarding the suicidal client, but only after observing the supervisor with the client and discussing the case with the supervisor. The anxiety of the trainee of working with suicidal individuals should be greatly reduced through this gradual process (Kranz, 1985). Westefeld et al. (2000) also suggest that a well-developed protocol for assessing and intervening with suicidal individuals within a training program would be beneficial for students, faculty, and staff. In addition, standardizing definitions such as crisis and emergency would create consistency and continuity within a graduate training program (Kleespies, 1998a).

Another important and salient concern in working with suicidal individuals is the fear of legal repercussions (Westefeld et al., 2000; Clark, 1998). However, fear of legal consequences of working with suicidal individuals is also not a sufficient reason to avoid working with suicidal



clients. Fear does not allow for escape from ethical and moral obligations to the client in danger of hurting him/herself. Increasing knowledge about suicidal issues, including know the appropriate steps in prevention, intervention, and postvention of suicidal behavior of a client could reduce the anxiety of working with suicidal individuals for trainees and professionals in Counseling Psychology. Also, by providing training in suicide issues in training programs, students can also learn sufficient documentation and other procedures to minimize the threat of legal action due to a client's suicide (Clark, 1998).

Finally, Westefeld et al. (2000) discussed that training should also address ethical issues, such as confidentiality and competence that arise when working with suicidal individuals. These issues will arise when a Counseling Psychologist or trainee is faced with a suicide crisis situation, and it is the opportunity of Counseling Psychology graduate programs to incorporate systematic, coherent training addressing these issues a priori to the potential crisis situation.

Westefeld et al. (2000) offered the following training model as a preliminary suggestion to including training in Counseling Psychology graduate programs. Coursework could include a crisis management course and supervision and practicum experiences related to working with suicidal clients. In addition, departments, counseling centers, and clinics within training programs could develop a systematic protocol for dealing with suicidal individuals and a panel to review cases in which a client committed suicide. Also, see Neimeyer (2000) for another inclusive training model for both suicide and hastened death issues for Counseling Psychologists that included personal and skill development for the Counseling Psychologist trainee.

Incorporating training in suicide prevention, intervention, and postvention in Counseling Psychology graduate programs serves not only the students and faculty within those programs,



but also the current and future individuals who will be under the care of the Counseling Psychologists trained in those programs.



References

Clark, D. C. (1998). The evaluation and management of the suicidal patient. In P. M. Kleespies (Ed.), Emergencies in Mental Health Practice: Evaluation and Management (pp. 75-94). New York: Guilford.

Kagan, N., Armsworth, M., Altmaier, E., Dowd, E., Hansen, J., Mills, D., Schlossberg, N., Sprinthall, N., Tanney, M., & Vasquez, M. (1988). Professional practice of counseling psychology in various settings. The Counseling Psychologist, 16, 347-365.

Kleespies, P. M. (1998a). The domain of psychological emergency services: An overview. In P. Kleespies (Ed.), <u>Emergencies in Mental Health Practice: Evaluation and Management</u> (pp. 9-21). New York: Guilford.

Kleespies, P. M., Penk, W., & Forsyth, J. (1993). The stress of patient suicidal behavior during clinical training: Incidence, impact, and recovery. <u>Professional Psychology: Research and Practice</u>, 24, 293-303.

Kleespies, P. M., Smith, M., & Becker, B. (1990). Psychology interns as patient suicide survivors: Incidence, impact, and recovery. <u>Professional Psychology: Research and Practice</u>, 21, 257-263.

Kranz, P. L. (1985). Crisis intervention: A new training approach to crisis intervention: A mentor training model. <u>Crisis Intervention</u>, 14, 107-114.

Neimeyer, R. A. (2000). Suicide and hastened death: Toward a training agenda for counseling psychology. <u>The Counseling Psychologist</u>, 28, 551-560.

Westefeld, J. S., Range, L. M., Rogers, J. R., Maples, M. R., Bromley, J. L., & Alcorn, J. (2000). Suicide: An overview. <u>The Counseling Psychologist</u>, 28, 445-510.





I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

| Author(s): Corporate S II. REPRO | Jamie L. Bromley Source: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| II. REPR | • | | Publication Date: Unpublished | |
| monthly abstrand electroni | ract journal of the ERIC system, Res ic media, and sold through the ERIC | timely and significant materials of interest to the edi- cources in Education (RIE), are usually made availa Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit ng notices is affixed to the document. | ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper co | |
| of the page. The samp | ion is granted to reproduce and disser ple sticker shown below will be d to all Level 1 documents | ninate the identified document, please CHECK ONE The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents | of the following three options and sign at the bot The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents | |
| PERMISS DISSEMIN | SION TO REPRODUCE AND NATE THIS MATERIAL HAS EEN GRANTED BY | PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY | PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED | |
| TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES | | TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES | Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES | |
| | MATION CENTER (ERIC) | INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A | INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2B | |
| · | Level 1 X | Level 2A | Level 2B | |
| Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy. | | Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only | Check here for Level 28 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only | |
| | | nts will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality produce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be pro | | |
| as | indicated above. Reproduction from | urces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permin on the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by pers e copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit re ors in response to discrete inquiries. | ons other than ERIC employees and its syst | |
| here, | ganization Address: Counseling | mly M. A Printed Name/F Jamie | L. Bromley, M.A. | |
| please | University of Akron | & Special Education De Jelephone 330 – Akron, OH 44325-5007 E-Mail Address bromley | 972-6822 | |

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

| Publisher/Distributor: | · | |
|------------------------|---|------|
| Address: | | |
| | | |
| Price: | | |
| | | |
| | | |

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

| | \ | |
|--|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

ERIC/CASS

201 Ferguson Building

PO Box 26171

Greensboro, NC 27402-6171

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20706

> Telephone: 301-552-4200 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-552-4700 e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 2/2000)

